arXiv:1202.2497v1 [math.AG] 12 Feb 2012

X-METHOD FOR KLT SURFACES IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

HIROMU TANAKA

Abstract. We establish some vanishing theorems for surfaces in positive characteristic. As an application, we obtain some fundamental theorems in minimal model theory for klt surfaces.

Contents

0. Introduction 1
1. Notations 3
2. Vanishing theorem for surfaces 3
3. X-method for surfaces 9
4. Other vanishing results 13
5. Examples in dimension three 15
References 19

0. Introduction

X-method is a method to prove fundamental theorems in minimal model theory by using the Kodaira vanishing theorem or its generalizations. Unfortunately, in positive characteristic there exists a counterexample to the Kodaira vanishing theorem. Thus we consider the following question. Can we establish a vanishing theorem in positive characteristic which is sufficient for X-method? If the dimension of the variety is two, then we have an affirmative answer.

Theorem 0.1 (Kodaira type)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective surface over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. Let A be an ample Cartier divisor. Let N be a nef Cartier divisor which is not numerically trivial. If $i > 0$ and $m \gg 0$, then*

$$H^i(X, K_X + A + mN) = 0.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E30; Secondary 14F17.

Key words and phrases. vanishing theorem, minimal model program, positive characteristic.

Moreover, by the standard argument we can generalize this theorem to the Kawamata–Viehweg type or Nadel type vanishing theorem.

Theorem 0.2 (Nadel type)**.** *Let X be a normal projective surface over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. Let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $K_X+\Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. Let N be a nef Cartier divisor which is not numerically trivial. Let L be a Cartier divisor such that $L-(K_X+\Delta)$ is nef and big. If $i>0$ and $m \gg 0$, then*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L+mN)\otimes \mathcal{J}_{\Delta})=0$$

where $\mathcal{J}_{\Delta}$ is the multiplier ideal of the pair (X,Δ).

Using this theorem, we obtain the following basepoint free theorem.

Theorem 0.3 (Basepoint free theorem)**.** *Let X be a projective normal surface over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. Let Δ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $0 \leq \Delta < 1$ and $K_X+\Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. Let D be a nef Cartier divisor which is not numerically trivial. Assume $aD-(K_X+\Delta)$ is nef and big for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then there exists a positive integer b_0 such that if $b \geq b_0$, then $|bD|$ is basepoint free.*

Thus if we can generalize the above vanishing theorems to the higher dimension, then we could prove the above basepoint free theorem. Unfortunately, however, there exists a counter-example to the above Kodaira type vanishing theorem even in the case where the dimension is three.

Here, let us compare Theorem 0.3 with the following theorem obtained in [Tanaka].

Theorem 0.4 (Theorem 18.1 of [Tanaka])**.** *Let X be a projective normal $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial surface over an algbracally closed field in positive characteristic. Let Δ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $0 \leq \Delta < 1$. Let D be a nef Cartier divisor. Assume $aD-(K_X+\Delta)$ is nef and big for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Then D is semi-ample.*

Theorem 0.4 does not need the assumption that D is not numerically trivial. On the other hand, Theorem 0.3 does not need the $\mathbb{Q}$-factoriality and its claim is stronger than the semi-ampleness.

By the same argument as the proof of the above Kodaira type vanishing theorem (Theorem 0.1) and so on, we can also establish the following vanishing theorem.

Theorem 0.5. *Let $\pi : X \to S$ be a morphism over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic from a smooth projective variety X to a projective variety S. Let A be a π-ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X whose* *support is simple normal crossing. Set* $f_{\max} := \max_{s\in S} \dim \pi^{-1}(s)$*. If* $i \geq f_{\max}$*, then*

$$R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner A \urcorner) = 0.$$

0.6 (overview of contents)**.** In Section 1, we summarize the notations. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 0.1 and Theorem 0.2. In Section 3, we apply these vanishing results to the minimal model theory. In Section 4, we show Theorem 0.5 and other vanishing theorems. In Section 5, we construct counter-examples to the above vanishing results in the case where the dimension is three.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Professor Osamu Fujino for many comments and discussions. He thanks Professor Atsushi Moriwaki for warm encouragement.

1. Notations

We will freely use the notation and terminology in [Kollár-Mori].

We will not distinguish the notations invertible sheaves and divisors. For example, we will write $L + M$ for invertible sheaves L and M.

For a coherent sheaf F and a Cartier divisor L, we define $F(L) := F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(L)$.

Throughout this paper, we work over an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic and let $\operatorname{char} k =: p$.

In this paper, *a variety* means an integral scheme which is separated and of finite type over k. *A curve* or *a surface* means a variety whose dimension is one or two, respectively.

2. Vanishing theorem for surfaces

In this section, we establish some vanising theorems for surfaces. First, we recall the Fujita vanishing theorem which is a generalization of the Serre vanishing theorem.

Fact 2.1 (Fujita vanishing theorem)**.** *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety. Let* F *be a coherent sheaf and let* A *be an ample* $\mathbb{Z}$*-divisor. Then there exists a positive integer* $m(F, A)$ *such that*

$$H^i(X, F(mA + N)) = 0$$

for $i > 0$*, every integer* $m \geq m(F, A)$ *and every nef* $\mathbb{Z}$*-divisor* N*.*

Proof. See [Fujita1, Theorem (1)] or [Fujita2, Section 5]. ☐

Since we would like to work over $\mathbb{R}$-divisors, let us generalize the Fujita vanishing theorem to real coefficients.

Theorem 2.2 (Fujita vanishing theorem for $\mathbb{R}$-divisors)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective variety. Let F be a coherent sheaf and let A be an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Then there exists a positive real number $r(F, A)$ such that*

$$H^i(X, F(rA + N)) = 0$$

for $i > 0$, every real number $r \geq r(F, A)$ and every nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor N such that $rA + N$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.

Proof. First, we prove that we may assume that A is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Consider the equation:

$$A = \frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{2}A = A' + A''$$

where A' and A'' are ample and A' is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Note that we can find A' and A'' by changing the coefficients of $(1/2)A$ a little. Thus we obtain the desired reduction by $rA + N = rA' + (N + rA'')$.

Thus assume that A is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Take a positive integer m_1 such that $m_1 A$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor. Then we obtain the assersion by Fact 2.1 and the equation $rA + N = mm_1 A + ((r - mm_1)A + N)$. $\square$

First, let us consider the following Serre–Fujita type vanishing theorem for surfaces.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a smooth projective surface and let F be a coherent sheaf on X. Let N be a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive real number $r(F, N)$ such that*

$$H^2(X, F(rN + N')) = 0$$

for every positive real number $r \geq r(F, N)$ and for every nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $rN + N'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.

Proof. Since X is projective, we obtain the following exact sequence:

$$\mathcal{O}_X^{\oplus s} \to F \otimes \mathcal{O}_X(A) \to 0$$

where A is a sufficiently ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor. By tensoring $\mathcal{O}_X(-A + rN + N')$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_X(-A + rN + N')^{\oplus r} \to F(rN + N') \to 0.$$

Thus we may assume that $F =: L$ is an invertible sheaf. By the Serre duality, we have

$$h^2(X, L + rN + N') = h^0(X, K_X - L - rN - N').$$

Take an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor A'. By $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$, we see $N \cdot A' > 0$. Then, for every sufficiently large number r, we obtain

$$(K_X - L - rN - N') \cdot A' < 0.$$

This means $H^0(X, K_X - L - rN - N') = 0$. □

Now, we prove Kodaira type vanishing theorem, by using the above vanishing result of H^2.

Proposition 2.4 (Kodaira type)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective surface and let A be an ample $\mathbb{R}$-divisor. Let N be a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive real number $r(A, N)$ such that*

$$H^1(X, K_X + A + rN + N')) = 0$$

for every positive real number $r \geq r(A, N)$ and for every nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor N' such that $A + rN + N'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.

Proof. Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{B} \to F_* \omega_X \to \omega_X \to 0$$

where $F : X \to X$ is the Frobenius map, that is p-th power map, and $\mathcal{B}$ is the kernel of $F_* \omega_X \to \omega_X$. Consider the composition of the pushforwards by $F, F^2, \cdots, F^{e-1}$ and we obtain

$$0 \to \mathcal{B}_e \to F^e_* \omega_X \to \omega_X \to 0$$

for some coherent sheaf $\mathcal{B}_e$.

By tensoring $\mathcal{O}_X(A + rN + N')$, we have

$$0 \to \mathcal{B}_e(A+rN+N') \to F^e_* \omega_X(A+rN+N') \to \omega_X(A+rN+N') \to 0.$$

By Proposition 2.3, we have

$$H^2(X, \mathcal{B}_e(A + rN + N')) = 0$$

for every large r. Thus the map

$$H^1(X, F^e_* \omega_X(A + rN + N')) \to H^1(X, \omega_X(A + rN + N'))$$

is surjective. The left hand side is

$$H^1(X, F^e_* \omega_X(A + rN + N')) = H^1(X, \omega_X(p^e A + p^e rN + p^e N')).$$

Therefore, for $e \gg 0$, this cohomology vanishes by the Fujita vanishing theorem. □

Remark 2.5. In the above argument, first we define e. Second, we define $r(A, N)$. Note that, by the Fujita vanishing theorem, we can take e independent of r.

In order to generalize the above Kodaira type vanishing theorem to the Kawamata–Viehweg type vanishing theorem, we recall the following covering lemma.

Proposition 2.6. *Let X be an n-dimensional smooth variety over k. Let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that the support of the fractional part $\llcorner D \lrcorner$ is simple normal crossing. Moreover suppose that for the prime decomposition $\{D\} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{b^{(i)}}{a^{(i)}} D^{(i)}$ and the integers $a^{(i)}$ is not divided by p. Then there exists a finite morphism $\gamma : Y \to X$ from a smooth variety Y with the following properties.*

1. *The field extension $K(Y)/K(X)$ is a Galois extension.*
2. *$\gamma^* D$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.*
3. *$\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner D \urcorner) \simeq (\gamma_* \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \gamma^* D))^G$, where G is the Galois group of $K(Y)/K(X)$.*
4. *If D' is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $\{D'\} = \{D\}$, then $\gamma^* D'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor and $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner D' \urcorner) \simeq (\gamma_* \mathcal{O}_Y(K_Y + \gamma^* D'))^G$.*

Proof. See [KMM, Theorem 1-1-1]. $\square$

Now, we can generalize the Kodaira type vanishing (Proposition 2.4) to the Kawamata–Viehweg type vanishing.

Theorem 2.7 (Kawamata–Viehweg type)**.** *Let X be a smooth projective surface. Let B be a nef and big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor whose fractional part is simple normal crossing. Let N be a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive real number $r(B, N)$ such that*

$$H^i(X, K_X + \ulcorner B \urcorner + rN + N') = 0$$

for $i > 0$, a positive real number $r \geq r(B, N)$ and a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor N' such that $rN + N'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.

Proof. If $i = 2$, then the assersion follows from Proposition 2.3. Thus we assume $i = 1$.

Step 1. In this step, we assume that $B =: A$ is ample and we prove the assertion.

Since A is ample, we may assume that A is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and no denominators of the coefficients of its fractional part are divided by p. Note that the fractional part of $A+rN+N'$ is equal to the fractional part of A for an arbitrary real number r and for a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor N' such that $rN + N'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor. Thus we can apply Proposition 2.6 for $D := A + rN + N'$ and we obtain a finite cover $\gamma : Y \to X$ with the properties in the proposition. Note that the map γ is independent of

r and N'. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H^1(X, K_X + \ulcorner A \urcorner + rN + N') \\ = \ & H^1(X, K_X + \ulcorner A + rN + N' \urcorner) \\ = \ & H^1(X, \gamma_*(K_Y + \gamma^*(A' + rN + N')))^G \\ = \ & H^1(Y, K_Y + \gamma^* A' + r\gamma^* N + \gamma^* N')^G \\ = \ & 0. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from Proposition 2.4 when $r \gg 0$.

Step 2. In this step, we prove the assersion.

Let $f : Y \to X$ be a birational morphism from a smooth projective surface with the following properties: there exists an effective $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor E such that $f^*B - \epsilon E$ is ample for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ and the fractional part $\{f^*B - \epsilon E\}$ is simple normal crossing. Since f has a decomposition of blow-ups, we consider the blow-up $g : Z \to X$ of one point P. Let C be the exceptional curve. Set $\Delta_X := \ulcorner B \urcorner - B$ and $M := \Delta_X + B + rN + N'$. We prove

$$H^1(X, K_X + \Delta_X + B + rN + N') = 0.$$

Consider the specral sequences:

$$0 \to H^1(X, K_X + M) \to H^1(Z, K_Z - C + g^*M)$$

$$0 \to H^1(X, K_X + M) \to H^1(Z, K_Z + g^*M).$$

Note that the second exact sequence is obtained by the Serre duality. If $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta_X \geq 1$, then we set $\Delta_Z := g^*(\Delta_X) - C$ and we can reduce the problem on X to the problem on Z by the first exact sequence. If $\mathrm{mult}_P \Delta_X < 1$, then we set $\Delta_Z := g^*(\Delta_X)$ and we can also reduce the problem by the second exact sequence. Thus it is sufficient to prove that

$$H^1(Y, K_Y + \Delta_Y + f^*(B + rN + N')) = 0.$$

We see

$$\begin{aligned} & H^1(Y, K_Y + \Delta_Y + f^*(B + rN + N')) \\ = \ & H^1(Y, K_Y + \ulcorner f^*B \urcorner + f^*(rN + N')) \\ = \ & H^1(Y, K_Y + \ulcorner f^*B - \epsilon E \urcorner + f^*(rN + N')) \\ = \ & 0. \end{aligned}$$

The first equality follows from $0 \leq \Delta_Y < 1$. The third equality follows from Step 1 when $r \gg 0$.

□

By this theorem, we obtain the relative Kawamata–Viehweg vanishing theorem for non-trivial morphisms.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\pi : X \to S$ be a proper morphism from a smooth surface X to a variety S. Let B be a π-nef and π-big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor whose fractional part is simple normal crossing. Assume* $\dim \pi(X) \geq 1$. *Then*

$$R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(X, K_X + \ulcorner B \urcorner) = 0$$

for $i > 0$.

Proof. By the same argument as Step 2 of Theorem 2.7, we may assume that $B =: A$ is π-ample. We may assume that S is affine. Moreover, by taking suitable compactifications of S and $X \to S$, we may assume that X and S are projective. Let A_S be an ample invertible sheaf on S and set $N := \pi^*A_S$. Then $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$. Therefore the assersion follows from Theorem 2.7 and the spectral sequence. $\square$

In order to generalize the above Kawamata–Viehweg type vanishing theorem to the Nadel type vanishing theorem, we recall the definition of the multiplier ideals.

Definition 2.9. Let X be a projective normal surface and let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor on X such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. Let $\mu : X' \to X$ be a log resolution of (X, Δ). We define *a multiplier ideal sheaf* $\mathcal{J}_\Delta$ by

$$\mathcal{J}_\Delta := \mu_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(K_{X'} - \llcorner \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) \lrcorner).$$

Note that $\mathcal{J}_\Delta$ is independent of log resolutions and that if $\Delta \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{J}_\Delta \subset \mathcal{O}_X$. For some fundamental properties of the multiplier ideals, see [Lazarsfeld, Part Three].

Now, we prove the Nadel type vanishing theorem, which is the main theorem in this section.

Theorem 2.10 (Nadel type)**.** *Let X be a projective normal surface and let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. Let N be a nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$. Let L be a Cartier divisor such that $L - (K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and big. Then there exists a positive real number $r(\Delta, L, N)$ such that*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(L + rN + N') \otimes \mathcal{J}_\Delta) = 0$$

for $i > 0$, a positive real number $r \geq r(\Delta, L, N)$ and N' is a nef $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $rN + N'$ is a Cartier divisor.

Proof. Let $\mu : X' \to X$ be a log resolution of (X, Δ). Set

$$M := \mu^*(L + rN + N') + K_{X'} - \llcorner \mu^*(K_X + \Delta) \lrcorner.$$

Consider the following spectral sequence:

$$E^{i,j} := H^i(X, R^j\mu_*\mathcal{O}_{X'}(M)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X', \mathcal{O}_{X'}(M)) =: E^{i+j}.$$

The assersion is equivalent to $E^{i,0}=0$. We see

$$M = K_{X'} + \ulcorner \mu^*(L-(K_X+\Delta))\urcorner + r\mu^*N + \mu^*N'.$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.8, we have $E^{i,j}=0$ for $j>0$. This means $E^{i,0}=E^i$. Moreover, by Theorem 2.7, we see that $E^i=0$ for $r \gg 0$. $\square$

3. X-METHOD FOR SURFACES

In this section, we apply the vanishing theorems which are established in Section 1 to the minimal model theory. First, we see the non-vanishing theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Non-vanishing theorem)**.** *Let* (X,Δ) *be a projective klt surface. Let* D *be a nef Cartier divisor* D *such that* $\nu(X,D)\geq 1$ *and* $aD-(K_X+\Delta)$ *is nef and big for some* $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Then there exists a poritive integer m_0 *such that*

$$H^0(X, mD + \ulcorner -\Delta \urcorner) \neq 0.$$

for $m \geq m_0$.

Proof. This proof is almost all the same as [Kollár-Mori, Theorem 3.4]. Thus we only see the differences between them. The numbers of "Step" are the same as [Kollár-Mori, Theorem 3.4].

The argument of Step 0 works without any changes. Because we assume $\nu(X,D)\geq 1$, there is nothing to prove in Step 1. The arguments of Step 2, Step 3, Step 4 and Step 5 work without any changes.

In Step 6 we need the following vanishing result:

$$H^1(Y, K_Y + \ulcorner B \urcorner + bf^*(D)) = 0.$$

where Y, B and $N := f^*(D)$ satisfy the assumption of Theorem 2.7. Thus, for $b \gg 0$, we obtain the above vanishing result. Note that, by Step 4 and Step 5, we may assume that b is sufficintly large. The remaining proof works without any changes. $\square$

Second, we prove the following basepoint free theorem.

Theorem 3.2 (Basepoint free theorem)**.** *Let* X *be a projective normal surface and let* Δ *be a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-divisor such that* $0\leq\Delta<1$ *and* $K_X+\Delta$ *is* $\mathbb{Q}$*-Cartier. Let* D *be a nef Cartier divisor such that* $\nu(X,D)\geq 1$. *Assume* $aD-(K_X+\Delta)$ *is nef and big for some* $a\in\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. *Then there exists a positive integer* b_0 *such that if* $b\geq b_0$, *then* $|bD|$ *is basepoint free.*

Proof. If the pair (X,Δ) is klt, then the proof of [Kollár-Mori, Theorem 3.3] works by the same modification as Theorem 3.1. Thus we assume that the pair (X, Δ) is not klt. Consider the following exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{J}_{\Delta}(bD) \to \mathcal{O}_X(bD) \to \mathcal{O}_{M_{\Delta}}(bD) \to 0$$

where M_{Δ} is the closed subscheme corresponding to $\mathcal{J}_{\Delta}$. Note that $\mathrm{Supp} M_{\Delta}$ consists of the non-klt points. In particular, the dimension of M_{Δ} is zero. We can apply Theorem 2.10 for $L := aD$ and $N := D$. Then we see that there exists a positive integer b_1 such that if $b \geq b_1$, then $H^0(X, bD) \neq 0$ and the base locus of $|bD|$ contains no non-klt singular points.

The following argument is a slight modification of [Kollár-Mori, Theorem 3.3]. Fix an arbitrary prime number q. Let s be a positive integer such that

$$\mathrm{Bs}|q^sD| = \bigcap_{l \geq 1} \mathrm{Bs}|q^lD|.$$

Note that, since X is a noetherian scheme, we can find such an integer s. It is sufficient to prove that $\mathrm{Bs}|q^sD| = \emptyset$. Suppose the contrary and we derive a contradiction. Set $m := q^s$.

Let $f : Y \to X$ be a log resolution of (X, Δ) such that

(1) $K_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum a_jF_j$, where every F_j is f-exceptional.
(2) $f^*(aD - (K_X + \Delta)) - \sum p_jF_j$ is ample, where $0 < p_j \ll 1$ and F_j may not be f-exceptional.
(3) $f^*|mD| = |L| + \sum r_jF_j$, where $|L|$ is basepoint free and $\bigcup F_j$ is the fixed locus of $f^*|mD|$.

We define $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $N(b, c)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} N(b,c) &:= bf^*D - K_Y + \sum(-cr_j + a_j - p_j)F_j \\ &= (b - cm - a)f^*D \\ &+ c(mf^*D - \sum r_jF_j) \\ &+ f^*(aD - (K_X + \Delta)) - \sum p_jF_j. \end{aligned}$$

If $b \geq cm + a$, then $N(b, c)$ is ample. Thus, for $b \gg 0$, we have

$$H^1(Y, K_Y + \ulcorner N(b, c) \urcorner) = 0$$

by Theorem 2.7.

By a small perturbation of p_j, we can find c and a prime divisor F in the fixed locus of $f^*|mD|$, which satisfy the following property: $\sum_{a_j > -1}(-cr_j + a_j - p_j)F_j =: A - F$ where $\ulcorner A \urcorner$ is effective and F is not a prime component of A. Set $G := -\ulcorner \sum_{a_j \leq -1}(-cr_j + a_j - p_j)F_j \urcorner$.

Note that G is effective $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor and $\mathrm{Supp}G \cap \mathrm{Supp}F = \emptyset$. Then we have

$$K_Y + \ulcorner N(b,c) \urcorner = bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner - (F+G).$$

Consider the exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to \mathcal{O}_X(K_Y + \ulcorner N(b,c) \urcorner) \\ &\to \mathcal{O}_X(bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner) \\ &\to \mathcal{O}_{F+G}(bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

If $b \gg 0$, then H^1 of the first term $\mathcal{O}_X(K_Y + \ulcorner N(b,c) \urcorner)$ vanishes. Let us consider the third term $\mathcal{O}_{F+G}(bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner)$. Since F is disjoint from G, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{F+G}(bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner) = \mathcal{O}_F(bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner) \oplus \mathcal{O}_G(bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner).$$

and

$$\mathcal{O}_F(bf^*D + \ulcorner A \urcorner) = \mathcal{O}_F(K_F + \ulcorner N(b,c) \urcorner).$$

H^0 of this sheaf does not vanish by the non-vanishing theorem for curves. Then we see $f(F) \not\subset \mathrm{Bs}|bD|$. Let $b := q^l$ for $l \gg 0$. Then this is a contradiction. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let X be a projective normal surface and let Δ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor such that $0 \leq \Delta < 1$ and $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. If $K_X + \Delta$ is nef and big, then $K_X + \Delta$ is semi-ample.*

Proof. Let c be a positive integer such that $c(K_X + \Delta)$ is Cartier. Then we can apply Theorem 3.2 for $D := c(K_X + \Delta)$ and $a := 1$. Thus $|bc(K_X + \Delta)|$ is basepoint free for $b \gg 0$. □

We would like to know whether the above basepoint free theorem holds for the case where $D \equiv 0$. We give the affirmative answer only for the case where X has at worst rational singularities. But we do not prove this by X-method. Let us recall the following known fact.

Fact 3.4. *Let X be a normal surface and let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor.*

1. *If (X, Δ) is klt, then X has at worst rational singularities.*
2. *If X has at worst rational singularities, then X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial.*

Proof. (1)See, for example, [Tanaka, Theorem 13.4 and Remark 13.5]. (2)See [Lipman, Proposition 17.1]. □

The following result is the key.

Theorem 3.5. *Let X be a projective surface whose singularities are at worst rational. Let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor such that $0 \leq \Delta < 1$. If $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and big, then X is a rational surface.*

Proof.

Step 1. We may assume that X has no curve whose self-intersection number is negative.

Suppose the contrary, that is, there exists a curve E in X such that $E^2 < 0$. Since

$$(K_X + E) \cdot E < (K_X + \Delta) \cdot E \leq 0,$$

we obtain a birational morphism $f : X \to Y$ to a projective surface whose singularities are at worst rational such that $\mathrm{Ex} f = E$. This follows from [Tanaka, Theorem 5.21 and Theorem 19.4]. Set $\Delta_Y := \Delta$. We see that the discrepancy d, defined by

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + dE,$$

is non-negative. Then we can see that $-(K_Y + \Delta_Y)$ is nef and big. Moreover, if there exists a curve E_Y in Y such that $E_Y^2 < 0$, then we can repeat the same procedure as above.

Step 2. In this step, we prove that we may assume that there exists a surjective morphism $\pi : X \to Z$ to a smooth projective irrational curve Z.

Let $g : X' \to X$ be the minimal resolusion and set $K_{X'} + \Delta' := g^*(K_X + \Delta)$. Since $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is big, the anti-canonical divisor

$$-K_{X'} = -g^*(K_X + \Delta) + \Delta'$$

is also big. In particular, X' is a ruled surface. If X' is rational, then there is nothing to prove. Thus we may assume that X' is irrational ruled surface. Let $\theta : X' \to Z$ be its ruling. Because the singularities of X are at worst rational, each curve D in $\mathrm{Ex} g$ is a smooth rational curve. In particular, $\theta(D)$ is one point. This means that θ factors through X. This is what we want to show.

Step 3. By Step 1 and [Tanaka, Theorem 5.8], we see that $\rho(X) \leq 2$. Moreover, by Step 2, we see that $\rho(X) = 2$. By Step 1, we see that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is ample. Thus there are two extremal rays which induce the Mori fiber space to a curve by [Tanaka, Theorem 5.8]. But this contradicts $\pi : X \to Z$ and the irrationality of Z.

$\square$

In the case where $D \equiv 0$, the basepoint free theorem is related to the rationality of the log weak del Pezzo surfaces. Indeed, by using the above result, we prove the following basepoint free theorem.

Corollary 3.6 (Basepoint free theorem in the case where $\nu = 0$). *Let X be a projective surface whose singularities are at worst rational. Let Δ be an $\mathbb{R}$-Weil divisor such that $0 \leq \Delta < 1$. Let D be a numerically trivial Cartier divisor. If $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef and big, then $D \sim 0$.*

Proof. Let $f : X' \to X$ be a resolution and set $D' := f^*D$. Since $H^0(X, D) = H^0(X', D')$, it is sufficient to prove $D' \sim 0$. By Theorem 3.5, X' is rational. Therefore $D' \equiv 0$ means $D' \sim 0$. $\square$

Remark 3.7. In [Tanaka], a basepoint free theorem is established in the case where X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial (Theorem 0.4). But this result does not contain Corollary 3.6. On the other hand, a cone theorem is established under the assumption that X is normal and Δ is effective $\mathbb{R}$-divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is $\mathbb{R}$-Cartier. For more details, see [Tanaka].

4. Other vanishing results

In this section, we see some vanishing results other than ones in Section 2. First, we consider a generalization of Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n \geq 1$. Let F be a coherent sheaf on X. Let N be a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive real number $r(F, N)$ such that*

$$H^n(X, F(rN + N')) = 0$$

for every positive real number $r \geq r(F, N)$ and for every nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor N' such that $rN + N'$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.

Proof. By the same argument of Theorem 2.3, we may assume that $F =: L$ is an invertible sheaf. We prove the assersion by the induction on $n = \dim X$. Let H be a smooth hyperplane section. Consider the exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ \to\ & \mathcal{O}_X(L + rN + N') \\ \to\ & \mathcal{O}_X(L + rN + N' + H) \\ \to\ & \mathcal{O}_H(L + rN + N' + H) \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

By the hypothesis of the induction, H^{n-1} of the third term $\mathcal{O}_H(L + rN + N' + H)$ vanishes. For the vanishing of H^n of the second term $\mathcal{O}_X(L+N'+tN+H)$, we use the Fujita vanishing theorem. If necessary, by replacing H with its large multiple, then we can apply the Fujita vanishing theorem. This is what we want to show. $\square$

Second, we consider a generalization of Kawamata–Viehweg type vanishing theorem (Theorem 2.7).

Theorem 4.2. *Let X be an n-dimensional smooth projective variety with $n \geq 2$. Let B be a nef and big $\mathbb{R}$-divisor whose fractional part is simple normal crossing. Let N be a nef $\mathbb{R}$-divisor with $\nu(X, N) \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive real number $r(B, N)$ such that*

$$H^{n-1}(X, K_X + \ulcorner B \urcorner + rN + N') = 0$$

for every positive integer $r \geq r(B, N)$ *and for every nef* $\mathbb{R}$*-divisor* N' *such that* $rN + N'$ *is* $\mathbb{Z}$*-divisor.*

Proof. We can apply the same inductive argument as Theorem 4.1 by using Theorem 2.7. □

Next, let us recall the following known result.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\pi : X \to S$ *be a morphism from a proper variety* X *to a projective variety* S*. Let* A_S *be an ample invertible sheaf on* S *and let* $N := f^*A_S$*. Let* F *be a coherent sheaf on* X*. Set* $f_{\max} := \max_{s \in S} \dim \pi^{-1}(s)$*.*

If $i \geq f_{\max} + 1$*, then*

$$H^i(X, F(mN)) = 0$$

for an arbitrary integer $m \gg 0$*.*

Proof. Consider the spectral sequence

$$E^{i,j} := H^i(S, R^j\pi_*F(tN)) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(X, F(tN)) =: E^{i+j}.$$

Since

$$R^j\pi_*F(mN) = R^j\pi_*(F \otimes \pi^*(mA_S)) = R^j\pi_*(F) \otimes A_S^{\otimes m},$$

by the Serre vanishing, we have $E^{i,j} = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $m \gg 0$. Thus we obtain $E^{0,j} = E^j$. If $j \geq f_{\max} + 1$, then $E^{0,j} = 0$. □

By the same argument as Section 2, we obtain the following vanishing result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let* $\pi : X \to S$ *be a morphism from a smooth projective variety* X *to a projective variety* S*. Let* A *be a* π*-ample* $\mathbb{R}$*-divisor on* X *whose fractional part is simple normal crossing. Let* A_S *be an ample invertible sheaf on* S *and let* $N := \pi^*A_S$*. Set* $f_{\max} := \max_{s \in S} \dim \pi^{-1}(s)$*.*

(1) *If* $i \geq f_{\max}$*, then*

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner A \urcorner + mN)) = 0$$

for an arbitrary integer $m \gg 0$*.*

(2) *If* $i \geq f_{\max}$*, then*

$$R^i\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \ulcorner A \urcorner) = 0.$$

Proof. By the spectral sequence, (2) follows from (1). Thus we only prove (1). We use Proposition 4.3 instead of Proposition 2.3. Then the assersion follows from the same arguments as Proposition 2.4 and Step 1 of Theorem 2.7. □

5. EXAMPLES IN DIMENSION THREE

It is natural to consider the following question.

Question 5.1. Can we generalize the vanishing results in Section 2 to higher dimensional varieties?

Unfortunately, the answer is NO. In this section, we construct counter-examples.

Example 5.2 (Counter-example to Theorem 2.3)**.** *There exists a smooth projective 3-fold X, a coherent sheaf F and a semi-ample and big $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor B which satisfy the following property.*

There exists a positive integer m_0 such that for an arbitrary integer $m \geq m_0$

$$H^2(X, F(mB)) \neq 0.$$

Construction. Let X_0 be an arbitrary smooth projective 3-fold and let $x_0 \in X_0$ be an arbitrary point. Let $f : X \to X_0$ be the blowup of x_0. Let E be the exceptional divisor and let $B := f^*A$ where A be an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor of X_0. We define F by

$$F := \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E).$$

Consider the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + mB) \to \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E + mB) \to \mathcal{O}_E(K_E + mB) \to 0.$$

H^3 of the left term $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X+mB)$ vanishes for an arbitrary large integer $m \gg 0$ by Theorem 4.1. Consider H^2 of the right term $\mathcal{O}_E(K_E+mB)$. Since $B = f^*(A)$ and $f(E)$ is one point, we have

$$h^2(E, K_E + mB) = h^2(E, K_E) = h^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 1 \neq 0$$

for an arbitrary integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. These means

$$H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + E + mB)) \neq 0$$

for an arbitrary large integer $m \gg 0$. This is what we want to show. $\square$

In the construction of the following three examples, we use a counter-example of Kodaira vanishing theorem. (cf. [Raynaud])

Example 5.3 (Counter-exmple to Theorem 2.4 and $\nu = 1$)**.** *There exists a smooth projective 3-fold X, an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor A and a semi-ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor N with $\nu(X, N) = 1$ which satisfy the following property.*

There exists a positive integer m_0 such that for an arbitrary integer $m \geq m_0$

$$H^1(X, K_X + A + mN) \neq 0.$$

Construction. By Proposition 2.6 and Step 1 in the proof of Theorem 2.7, it is sufficient that we construct

$$H^1(X, K_X + \Delta + A + mN) \neq 0$$

for an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor A and a simple normal crossing $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Δ such that $0 \leq \Delta \leq 1$ and $\Delta + A$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.

Let Z be a smooth projective surface and let A_Z be an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor such that

$$H^1(Z, K_Z + A_Z) \neq 0.$$

Let C be an arbitrary smooth projective curve. Set $X := Z \times C$ and let π_Z and π_C be their projection respectively. Take two distinct points $c_0 \in C$ and $c_1 \in C$ and let $Z_0 := Z \times \{c_0\}$ and $Z_1 := Z \times \{c_1\}$. Note that $Z_0 \simeq Z$ and $Z_1 \simeq Z$. Since c_1 is an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor on C,

$$A := \pi_Z^*(A_Z) + Z_1$$

is an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor on X. We show

$$H^1(X, K_X + Z_0 + A + mZ_1) \neq 0$$

for an arbitrary integer $m \gg 0$. Consider the exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \;\to\; & \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + A + mZ_1) \\ \to\; & \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + Z_0 + A + mZ_1) \\ \to\; & \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(K_{Z_0} + A + mZ_1) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4.2, H^2 of the first term $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + A + mZ_1)$ vanishes for an arbitrary large integer $m \gg 0$. Let us calculate H^1 of the third term $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(K_{Z_0} + A + mZ_1)$. By $Z_0 \cap Z_1 = \emptyset$, we see

$$H^1(Z_0, K_{Z_0} + A + mZ_1) = H^1(Z_0, K_{Z_0} + \pi_Z^* A_Z) = H^1(Z, K_Z + A_Z) \neq 0$$

for an arbitrary integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. These means

$$H^1(X, K_X + Z_0 + A + mZ_1) \neq 0$$

for $m \gg 0$. This is what we want to show. $\square$

Example 5.4 (Counter-exmple to Theorem 2.4 and $\nu = 3$)**.** *There exists a smooth projective 3-fold X, an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor A and a semi-ample and big $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor B which satisfy the following property.*

There exists a positive integer m_0 such that for an arbitrary integer $m \geq m_0$

$$H^1(X, K_X + A + mB) \neq 0.$$

Construction. As Example 5.3, it is sufficient that we construct

$$H^1(X, K_X + \Delta + A + mN) \neq 0$$

for an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor A and a simple normal crossing $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor Δ such that $0 \leq \Delta \leq 1$ and $\Delta + A$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor.

Let $Z \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ be a smooth projective surface and let A_Z be an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor such that

$$H^1(Z, K_Z + A_Z) \neq 0.$$

Let $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{N+1}$ be the projective cone over Z and let $f : X \to Y$ be the blowup of the vertex of Y.

Then by [Hartshorne, Chapter V, Example 2.11.4], we see that $X = \mathrm{Proj}_Z(\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1))$. Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be the natural projection and let $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ be the canonically defined π-ample invertible sheaf. Let Z_0 and Z_1 be the sections defined by the following surjections respectively

$$\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \to \mathcal{O}_Z \to 0$$

$$\mathcal{O}_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \to \mathcal{O}_Z(1) \to 0.$$

By the definition of Z_0 and Z_1, we have $\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{Z_0} = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(1)|_{Z_0} = \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(1)$ where $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(1)$ is a very ample invertible sheaf defined by $Z \simeq Z_0$ and $\mathcal{O}_Z(1)$. Moreover, by direct calculation, we see that Z_0 is the excetional locus of f and $\mathcal{O}_X(Z_1) \simeq \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ (cf. [Hartshorne, Chapter V, Proposition 2.6]).

We fix a small positive rational number $\epsilon_0 \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor

$$A := \pi^* A_Z + \epsilon_0 Z_1$$

is ample. Set

$$\Delta := (1 - \epsilon_0) Z_1 + Z_0.$$

Note that $\Delta + A$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor. Let A_Y be an ample invertible sheaf on Y and let

$$B := f^* A_Y.$$

Consider the exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \ \to\ & \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Delta + A + mB - Z_0) \\ \to\ & \mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Delta + A + mB) \\ \to\ & \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(K_X + \Delta + A + mB) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

First let us calculate H^2 of the first term $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X + \Delta + A + mB - Z_0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & H^2(X, K_X + \Delta + A + mB - Z_0) \\ = \ & H^2(X, K_X + (1 - \epsilon_0) Z_1 + A + mB) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary large integer $m \gg 0$ by Theorem 4.2. Second let us calculate H^1 of the third term $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(K_X + \Delta + A + mB)$. Since $f(Z_0)$ is one point, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(K_X + \Delta + A + mB) \\ = \ & \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(K_X + Z_1 + Z_0 + \pi^* A_Z + m f^* A_Y) \\ = \ & \mathcal{O}_{Z_0}(K_{Z_0} + \pi^* A_Z) \end{aligned}$$

for an arbitrary integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. By $Z_0 \simeq Z$, we see

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(Z_0, K_X + \Delta + A + mB) &= H^1(Z_0, K_{Z_0} + \pi^* A_Z) \\ &= H^1(Z, K_Z + A_Z) \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$H^1(X, K_X + \Delta + A + mB) \neq 0$$

for an arbitrary large integer $m \gg 0$. This is what we want to show. □

Example 5.5 (Counter-exmple to Theorem 2.4 and $\nu = 2$)**.** *There exists a smooth projective 3-fold W, an ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor A_W and a semi-ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor N with $\nu(W, N) = 2$ which satisfy the following property.*

There exists a positive integer m_0 such that for an arbitrary integer $m \geq m_0$

$$H^1(W, K_W + A_W + mN) \neq 0.$$

Construction. We use the same notation $X, Y, Z, A, \cdots$ as Example 5.4. Let $y_0 \in Y$ be the vertex as a projective cone. There exists a finite morphism $\theta : Y \to \mathbb{P}^3$. Fix an open set $\mathbb{A}^3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $\theta(y_0) \in \mathbb{A}^3$. Take an arbitrary projection $\mathbb{A}^3 \to \mathbb{A}^2 =: U$ and fix its projectivication $U \subset \mathbb{P}^2 =: P$. Now, we have the following morphisms.

$$X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbb{P}^3 \supset \mathbb{A}^3 \to \mathbb{A}^2 = U \subset \mathbb{P}^2 = P$$

Here, by considering the composition of the above morphisms, we obtain a dominant rational map $g : X \dashrightarrow P$. Note that this is a morphism on $(\theta \circ f)^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^3)$. By its construction, we see $Z_0 \subset (\theta \circ f)^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ since $f(Z_0) = y_0$. By taking a log resolution of indeterminacy $h : W \to X$, we obtain a surjective morphism $l : W \to P$ from a smooth projective 3-fold W such that $h(\mathrm{Ex}(h)) \subset X \setminus (\theta \circ f)^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^3)$ and $h^{-1}(Z_1) \cup \mathrm{Ex}(h)$ is simple normal crossing (cf. [CP]). Then $h : h^{-1}(Z_0) \to Z_0$ is an isomorphism and let $Z_W := h^{-1}(Z_0)$. Let

$$A_W := h^* A - E = h^* \pi^* A_Z + \epsilon_0 h^* Z_1 - E$$

be an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on W where E is an h-exceptional $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor with $0 \leq E < 1$. Note that we can find such a divisor E by [Kollár-Mori, Lemma 2.62]. Let

$$\Delta_W := Z_W + \ulcorner A_W \urcorner - A_W.$$

Since $\mathrm{Supp}(h^*Z_1 \cup \mathrm{Ex}(h))$ is disjoint from Z_W we see that

$$(\Delta_W + A_W)|_{Z_W} = (Z_W + h^*\pi^*A_Z)|_{Z_W}.$$

Let A_P be an arbitrary ample $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor on P and let

$$N := l^*A_P.$$

Consider the exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to \mathcal{O}_W(K_W + \Delta_W + A_W + mN - Z_W) \\ &\to \mathcal{O}_W(K_W + \Delta_W + A_W + mN) \\ &\to \mathcal{O}_{Z_W}(K_W + \Delta_W + A_W + mN) \to 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then by the same calculation as Example 5.5, we obtain the desired result. $\square$

References

[CP] V. Cossart, O. Piltant, Resolution of singularities of threefolds in positive characteristic I, J. Algebra **320** (2008) 1051–1082.

[Fujita1] T. Fujita, Vanishing theorems for semipositive line bundles, Algebraic geometry (Tokyo/Kyoto, 1982), 519–528, Lecture Notes in Math., **1016**, Springer, Berlin, 1983.

[Fujita2] T. Fujita, Semipositive line bundles, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **30** (1984), no 3 353–378.

[Hartshorne] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry.*, Grad. Texts in Math., no **52**, Springer-Verlag, NewYork, 1977.

[KMM] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda, K. Matsuki, Introduction to the Minimal Model Program, volume 10 of adv. Stud. Pure Math., 283–360. Kinokuniya–North–Holland, 1987.

[Kollár-Mori] J. Kollár, S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambrigde Tracts in Mathematics, Vol. **134**, 1998.

[Lazarsfeld] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in Algebraic Geometry II*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, 3. Folge, Vol. **49**, Springer–Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[Lipman] J. Lipman, Rational singularities with applications to algebraic surfaces and unique factorization, Inst. Hautes Étuded Sci. Publ. Math. **36** (1969), 195–297.

[Raynaud] M. Raynaud, Contre-exemple au "vanishing theorem" en caractéristique $p > 0$, C. P. Ramanujam — A tribute, Studies in Math., **8** (1978), 273–278.

[Tanaka] H. Tanaka, Minimal models and abundance for positive characteristic log surfaces, preprint(2012).

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502 Japan
E-mail address: `tanakahi@math.kyoto-u.ac.jp`